

Accepted Manuscript

Why intensity is not a bad word - benefits and practical aspects of high effort resistance training to the older

Paulo Gentil, James Steele, James Fisher

PII: S0261-5614(17)30198-X

DOI: [10.1016/j.clnu.2017.05.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2017.05.024)

Reference: YCLNU 3149

To appear in: *Clinical Nutrition*

Received Date: 13 March 2017

Accepted Date: 18 May 2017

Please cite this article as: Gentil P, Steele J, Fisher J, Why intensity is not a bad word - benefits and practical aspects of high effort resistance training to the older, *Clinical Nutrition* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.05.024.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



22 Dear Editor,

23 We would like to congratulate Hunter et al. [1] for their excellent work. If we
24 may, we would like to further this discussion in order to clarify issues regarding
25 practical aspects of high effort¹ resistance training (RT) for older people.

26 Ageing is associated with a decrease in protein synthesis, specially type II fibers
27 [2]; however, although the fractional rate of muscle protein synthesis is lower in the
28 elderly than in the young, it increases to a comparable rate in both age groups in
29 response to high effort RT [3]. Therefore, muscle mass and functional performance do
30 not seem to be an inevitable effect of ageing, but rather a consequence of refraining
31 from high effort physical activities. In agreement with this, Candow et al. [4] reported
32 that 22 weeks of high effort RT eliminates age-related deficits in muscle mass and
33 strength in older (60-71 years) when compared to young males (18-31 years). Other
34 studies showed that when older and younger people are submitted to the same RT
35 program, they show similar increases in muscle size [5] and that load progression and
36 strength increases are similar among young and older men and women [6, 7]. The article
37 by Hunter et al. supports this evidences and makes us question if the limited response
38 by the elderly to RT seen in many cases may originate from over precaution in
39 prescription of intensity of effort and the underestimation of their adaptive capacity,
40 reinforced by physical activity guidelines for this population. For example, where RT
41 has been implemented using a low effort prescription no significant improvements in
42 any outcome measures occurred compared with a non-training control group [8].
43 Contrastingly, we have recently reported significant improvements in strength and body
44 composition over a 6 months supervised RT intervention employing progressive
45 introduction of higher efforts [9]

46 The importance of effort is not limited to muscle strength and hypertrophy. For
47 example, Izumiya et al. showed that the activation of Akt partially reversed the negative
48 effects of overfeeding in rats, including reductions in liver fat, adipocyte atrophy and
49 improved glycemic control [10]. Considering that the activation of the mTOR axis may
50 be related to type II fiber activation, and thus based upon Henneman's size principle
51 high motor efforts, it seems there are three important practical methods to achieve this:

¹ We note that the term 'effort' is favoured here in place of 'intensity' to avoid the confusion that this can cause particularly with respect to resistance training (Steele, 2014)
Steele J. *Intensity*; in-ten-si-ty; *noun*. 1. Often used ambiguously within resistance training. 2. Is it time to drop the term altogether? *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48(22):1586-1588

52 using heavy relative loads, performing exercises at high velocity, and training to
53 momentary failure. Interestingly, Ibanez et al. [11] reported reductions in body fat and
54 increases in insulin sensitivity in older people with type 2 diabetes performing high
55 effort RT, even with an increase in caloric intake. Moreover, previous studies from
56 Paoli et al. [12, 13] showed concomitant reductions in body fat, increases in muscle
57 mass and improvements in health parameters in response to high effort RT in older
58 participants, without alterations in dietary habits.

59 Therefore, it is our opinion that the article by Hunter et al. [1] presents an
60 important message that should be considered by practitioners in order to help older
61 people to improve their health through high effort RT. Whilst adherence and
62 engagement present a challenge, we have demonstrated that it is possible to successfully
63 apply progressive introduction of higher effort RT in this population [9]. Possibly the
64 greater challenge though would be to combine efficiency and safety, especially because
65 ageing is associated with joint instability and a greater incidence of cardiovascular
66 problems such as arterial hypertension. In this regard, it might be wise to avoid the
67 performance of multiple sets and a high number of repetitions, since both seem to be
68 associated with higher cardiovascular stress [14-17]. Here, again, 'intensity' of effort
69 may not be a bad word, but volume may be.

70

71 References

- 72 [1] Hunter GR, Plaisance EP, Carter SJ, Fisher G. Why intensity is not a bad word:
73 Optimizing health status at any age. *Clin Nutr.* 2017.
- 74 [2] Balagopal P, Schimke JC, Ades P, Adey D, Nair KS. Age effect on transcript levels
75 and synthesis rate of muscle MHC and response to resistance exercise. *Am J Physiol*
76 *Endocrinol Metab.* 2001;280:E203-8.
- 77 [3] Yarasheski KE. Exercise, aging, and muscle protein metabolism. *J Gerontol A Biol*
78 *Sci Med Sci.* 2003;58:M918-22.
- 79 [4] Candow DG, Chilibeck PD, Abeysekara S, Zello GA. Short-term heavy resistance
80 training eliminates age-related deficits in muscle mass and strength in healthy older
81 males. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011;25:326-33.

- 82 [5] Ivey FM, Roth SM, Ferrell RE, Tracy BL, Lemmer JT, Hurlbut DE, et al. Effects of
83 age, gender, and myostatin genotype on the hypertrophic response to heavy resistance
84 strength training. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2000;55:M641-8.
- 85 [6] Ciolac EG, Garcez-Leme LE, Greve JM. Resistance exercise intensity progression in
86 older men. *Int J Sports Med.* 2010;31:433-8.
- 87 [7] Ciolac EG, Brech GC, Greve JM. Age does not affect exercise intensity progression
88 among women. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2010;24:3023-31.
- 89 [8] Martins WR, Safons MP, Bottaro M, Blasczyk JC, Diniz LR, Fonseca RM, et al.
90 Effects of short term elastic resistance training on muscle mass and strength in untrained
91 older adults: a randomized clinical trial. *BMC Geriatr.* 2015;15:99.
- 92 [9] Steele J, Raubold K, Kemmler W, Fisher J, Gentil P, Giessing J. The effects of 6
93 months of progressive high effort resistance training methods upon strength, body
94 composition, function, and wellbeing of elderly adults. *Biomed Res Int.* In press.
- 95 [10] Izumiya Y, Hopkins T, Morris C, Sato K, Zeng L, Viereck J, et al. Fast/Glycolytic
96 muscle fiber growth reduces fat mass and improves metabolic parameters in obese mice.
97 *Cell Metab.* 2008;7:159-72.
- 98 [11] Ibanez J, Izquierdo M, Arguelles I, Forga L, Larrion JL, Garcia-Unciti M, et al.
99 Twice-weekly progressive resistance training decreases abdominal fat and improves
100 insulin sensitivity in older men with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2005;28:662-7.
- 101 [12] Paoli A, Pacelli F, Bargossi AM, Marcolin G, Guzzinati S, Neri M, et al. Effects of
102 three distinct protocols of fitness training on body composition, strength and blood
103 lactate. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2010;50:43-51.
- 104 [13] Paoli A, Pacelli QF, Moro T, Marcolin G, Neri M, Battaglia G, et al. Effects of
105 high-intensity circuit training, low-intensity circuit training and endurance training on
106 blood pressure and lipoproteins in middle-aged overweight men. *Lipids Health Dis.*
107 2013;12:131.
- 108 [14] Lamotte M, Niset G, van de Borne P. The effect of different intensity modalities of
109 resistance training on beat-to-beat blood pressure in cardiac patients. *Eur J Cardiovasc*
110 *Prev Rehabil.* 2005;12:12-7.
- 111 [15] Belluco C, Olivieri F, Bonafe M, Giovagnetti S, Mammano E, Scalerta R, et al. -
112 174 G>C polymorphism of interleukin 6 gene promoter affects interleukin 6 serum level
113 in patients with colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2003;9:2173-6.
- 114 [16] Fleck SJ, Dean LS. Resistance-training experience and the pressor response during
115 resistance exercise. *J Appl Physiol.* 1987;63:116-20.

116 [17] Gotshall R, Gootman J, Byrnes W, Fleck S, Valovich T. Noninvasive
117 characterization of the blood pressure response to double-leg press exercise. JEPonline.
118 1999;2.

119

120

121

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT